

Hilbert space condition on conserved quantities in second-order discrete time classical mechanics

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1995 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 L197

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/28/6/003>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.68

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 01:35

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Hilbert space condition on conserved quantities in second-order discrete time classical mechanics

G Jaroszkiewicz

Department of Mathematics, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, UK

Received 15 December 1994

Abstract. Kowalski’s method of mapping first-order discrete time systems to Hilbert space is discussed in the context of conserved quantities in second-order discrete time mechanics.

In this letter we show that a necessary and sufficient condition for any first-order† quantity C to be conserved in second-order discrete time mechanics is that the corresponding operator \hat{C} commutes with the appropriate generalization of Kowalski’s evolution operator \hat{M} , originally defined for first-order discrete time systems [1]. Without loss of generality, we shall limit the discussion to a single real dynamical variable x_n indexed by an integer n , obeying the second-order equation of motion

$$x_{n+1} \cong f(x_n, x_{n-1}) \quad 0 < n < N \tag{1}$$

where the symbol \cong denotes an equality holding on a dynamical (i.e. actual) path. We will suppose that equation (1) may be obtained by an application of Cadzow’s variational principle [2] to the action sum

$$A_N = \sum_{n=1}^N F^n \tag{2}$$

where the first-order system function $F^n := F(x_n, x_{n-1})$ is the discrete time analogue of a Lagrangian of the form $L := L(x, \dot{x})$ in continuous time mechanics. This gives the second-order equation of motion

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \{F^n + F^{n+1}\} \cong 0 \quad 0 < n < N \tag{3}$$

which we assume may be solved to give x_{n+1} explicitly as in (1).

Our interest here is in first-order conserved quantities $C^n := C(x_n, x_{n-1})$, which by definition satisfy the condition $C^{n+1} \cong C^n$. We may construct such quantities by applying Logan’s variant of Noether’s theorem [3] as follows. Choose some infinitesimal transformation of the coordinates of the form $\delta x_n = \epsilon u_n$, where ϵ is infinitesimal and $u_n := u(x_n, x_{n-1})$ and then

$$\delta F^n \cong \epsilon \left\{ \frac{\partial F^n}{\partial x_n} u_n - \frac{\partial F^{n-1}}{\partial x_{n-1}} u_{n-1} \right\}. \tag{4}$$

† By definition, if x_n is a variable indexed by a discrete parameter n then a p th-order function g has $p + 1$ arguments $x_r, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_{r+p}$ for some integer r .

If we can show that δF^n is of the form $\epsilon(v_n - v_{n-1})$, where $v_n := v(x_n, x_{n-1})$ is some function other than $\frac{\partial F_n}{\partial x_n} u_n$, then we can immediately deduce that the quantity $C^n := v_n - \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial x_n} u_n$ is conserved modulo the equations of motion (1) or (3).

To use Kowalski's Hilbert space description of classical discrete time systems, we first rewrite our second-order equation of motion (1) as a pair of first-order equations. If we define $y_n := x_{n-1}$ then we may write

$$x_{n+1} \cong f(x_n, y_n) \quad y_{n+1} \cong g(x_n, y_n) \quad 0 < 1 < N \quad (5)$$

where $g(x_n, y_n) := x_n$.

Kowalski's method is to associate a creation and annihilation operator with each dynamical degree of freedom. We associate the operators \hat{a}, \hat{a}^+ with the x_n variable and the operators \hat{b}, \hat{b}^+ with the y_n variable. The important non-zero commutators are

$$[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^+] = [\hat{b}, \hat{b}^+] = 1. \quad (6)$$

Following Kowalski, we define the Hilbert space states

$$|x, y; n\rangle := \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}(x_n^2 + y_n^2 - x_1^2 - y_1^2)\right] |x_n, y_n\rangle \quad 0 < n \leq N \quad (7)$$

where $|x_n, y_n\rangle$ is the normalized coherent state

$$|x_n, y_n\rangle := \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x_n^2 + y_n^2 + x_n \hat{a}^+ + y_n \hat{b}^+)\right\} |0\rangle. \quad (8)$$

The appropriate generalization of Kowalski's evolution operator is

$$\hat{M} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\hat{a}^+)^k (\hat{b}^+)^l}{k! l!} [f(\hat{a}, \hat{b}) - \hat{a}]^k [g(\hat{a}, \hat{b}) - \hat{b}]^l \quad (9)$$

and then we may readily show that

$$|x, y; n+1\rangle \cong \hat{M} |x, y; n\rangle \quad 0 < n < N. \quad (10)$$

To see how the constants of motion are encoded into the Hilbert space description, we note the basic property of the coherent states, i.e.

$$\hat{a} |x, y; n\rangle = x_n |x, y; n\rangle \quad \hat{b} |x, y; n\rangle = y_n |x, y; n\rangle. \quad (11)$$

Given any classical first-order function $C(x, y)$ we define its associated operator \hat{C} by $\hat{C} := C(\hat{a}, \hat{b})$. Then, assuming C is an analytic function of its arguments, we readily deduce

$$\hat{C} |x, y; n\rangle = C(x_n, y_n) |x, y; n\rangle = C^n |x, y; n\rangle. \quad (12)$$

Hence we find

$$[\hat{C}, \hat{M}] |x, y; n\rangle = (C^{n+1} - C^n) |x, y; n+1\rangle. \quad (13)$$

If now we know C is conserved, i.e. $C^{n+1} \cong C^n$, then we may use the overcompleteness property of the states $|x, y; n\rangle$ to deduce

$$[\hat{C}, \hat{M}] = 0 \quad (14)$$

which is the required necessary and sufficient condition satisfied by any operator function of \hat{a} and \hat{b} representing a conserved first-order quantity in the original classical system. This is analogous to the commutation of conserved operators with the temporal evolution operator $\hat{U}(t)$ in continuous time quantum mechanics. We note that this result holds despite the fact that \hat{C} is not Hermitian.

I am grateful to Dr K Kowalski for invaluable discussions on his work and to Professor J Rembieliński for his hospitality at the University of Łódź.

References

- [1] Kowalski K 1993 *Physica* **195A** 137–48
- [2] Cadzow J A 1970 *Int. J. Control* **11** 393–407
- [3] Logan J D 1973 *Aequat. Math.* **9** 210–20